

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,022	11/26/2001	Holger G. Gassner	07039-171002	1634	
26191	7590 05/20/2003				
	HARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ASCHER PLAZA IXTH STREET		JAGOE, DONNA A		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1614	(2	
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	1.5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
● 7		Applicati r	IN.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/995,022		GASSNER ET AL.			
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		Donna A. Ja		1614			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears on th cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for R ply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is n	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp sition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 32-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed:							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 32-43</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ (Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.				
· · ·	•	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ TI	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
_ `	of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice	of Neterences Cited (FTO-092) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/995,022

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 23 and 32-43 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection made in paper number 3 over Sanders et al. under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is maintained and hereby repeated.

Applicant argues that Sanders et al. does not disclose a composition containing combinations of botulinum toxin, a local anesthetic and a local vasoconstrictor. Applicant argues that the composition is not administered immediately sequentially and there would be typically a 10 minute wait between the step of administering the anesthetic agent and the rest of the composition, namely the botulinum toxin and the local anesthetic. In response, regardless of the 10-minute lag time, the composition is anticipated since the three ingredients are administered sequentially. Further, regarding the composition, there do not appear to be any unexpected results when the composition of botulinum toxin, a local anesthetic and a vasoconstrictive agent is admixed in a container. Proprietary forms of lidocaine are available with epinephrine premixed. Since most types of injection are painful, it is standard of practice to administer a local anesthetic to a patient. Further, when medication is injected in some areas, there is excess bleeding. It is standard of practice to administer a vasoconstrictor, usually along with the local anesthetic. Nothing unexpected has been shown by administering botulinum toxin, a local anesthetic agent and a local vasoconstrictor.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,022

Art Unit: 1614

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna Jagoe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3230 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,385

Art Unit: 1614

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Donna Jagoe Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614

dj May 15, 2003

> MARIANNE C. SEIDEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600